Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/811,071	FENNEY ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allowed		
(1) <u>DANIEL F. HAJNIK</u> .	(3)		
(2) Terryence F. Chapman.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 June 2010	Time: <u>10AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  Telephonic  Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applic	ant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 32 and 35			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Examiner called applicant about making mirror changes to the c to changes	laims to put case in condition for a	llowance and appi	icant agreed
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of t interview, since	he substance

Application No.

Applicant(s)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)